2011



Reg. No. രജി. നമ്പർ KL/TV(N)/12/2009-2011

KERALA GAZETTE

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

Vol. LVI വാല്യം 56

THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY

തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ

8th March 2011 2011 മാർച്ച് 8 17th Phalguna 1932 1932 ഫാൽഗുനം 17

 $\left. egin{array}{l} \mathbf{No.} \\ \mathsf{mmid} \end{array}
ight\} \mathbf{10}$

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 101/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 19th January 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri T. Krishnan, Mechno Engineering, Electrical Contractors, 13/792, Annie Hall Road, Kozhikode-673 002 and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri Vasanthan, T. S/o Surendran, Karthuttismrithi, P. K. Panicker Road, Nadakkavu P. O., Kozhikode-11 in respect of matters mentioned in the anexure to this order,

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEYLIDE

Whether there is denial of employment to Shri Vasanthan, T., Electrician by Shri T. Krishnan, Electrical Contractors, 13/792, Annie Hall Road,

Kozhikode? If yes, what relief he is entitled to?

(2)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 171/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 1st February 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between 1. The President, Thrissur District Co-operative Bank Limited, Sahakarana Sadhabthi Mandiram, Thrissur-680 022, 2. The General Manager, Thrissur District Co-operative Bank Limited, Sahakarana Sadhabthi Mandiram, Thrissur-680 022 and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt. Sarada, C/o. M. Prasanna, Puthen Tharayil Veedu, Thrikkumaramkudam P. O., Ayyanthole, Thrissur in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

Annexure

- 1. Whether the dismissal of Smt. Sarada by the management of Thrissur District Co-operative Bank Limited, Thrissur is justifiable?
- 2. If not what relief she is entitled to get?

(3)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 172/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 1st February 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Dharmanandan, V. S., S/o. Sreedharan, Eranezhathu Vengali House, Thalikulam P. O., Thrissur and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Thrissur District Road Transport Employees Union (CITU), Machingal Lane, Ceylon Lodgings, Thrissur in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order:

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

- 1. Whether there is denial of employment to Shri T. T. Sunil by Shri Dharmanandan, Owner of Bus No. KL 08 K 6000?
- 2. If yes, what relief he is entitled to get?

(4)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 173/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 1st February 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Foster Marketing Company, Athani, P. O. Peringannur, Thrissur and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the President, Foster Employees Union, Peringannur P. O., Thrissur in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the workmen of Foster Marketing Company Limited are eligible for annual increment as per the conciliation settlement dated 14-5-2007, No. IR(2) 1634/04 while implementing the award of Hon'ble Industrial Tribunal ID No. 83/05 dated 2-7-2008?

(5)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 174/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 1st February 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Group Manager, Harrisons Malayalam Limited, Kundai Estate, Mooply Valley P. O., Palappilly and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the President, Kerala State Estate and Plantation Workers Union (TUCI), Aroma Building, II Floor, Puthukkad-680 301 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months

Annexure

Whether the dismissal of Shri A. Vijayan by the management of Harrisons Malayalam Limited, Kundai Estate, Palappilly is justifiable? If not what reliefs the workman are entitled to?

(6)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 175/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 1st February 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Meenakshi Vilasam Estate, Meppady P. O., Wayanad and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Kerala State Estates and Plantation Workers Union (TUCI), Emili, Kalpetta in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Shri V. K. Karuppayya (CR No. 107) by the management of Meenakshi Vilasam Estate, Meppady is justifiable? If not what are the relief the worker is entitled to?

(7)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 176/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 1st February 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Thrissur Co-operative Spinning Mill Limited, Vazhani P. O., Thrissur-680 589 and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri K. Vikraman, Kalliparambil Veedu, Thekkumkara P. O., Vadakkancherry via. Thrissur-680 608 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

- Whether the denial of employment to Shri K. Vikraman by the management of Thrissur Co-operative Spinning Mill Limited is justifiable?
- 2. If not, what relief he is entitled to get?

(8)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 177/2011/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 1st February 2011.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between 1. The President, Calicut North Service Co-operative Bank Limited No. L.L. 37, Karuvissery P. O., Kozhikode-10, 2. The Secretary, Calicut North Service Co-operative Bank Limited No. L. L. 37, Karuvissery P. O., Kozhikode-10 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Industrial and General Workers Union, Reg. No. 130/61, INTUC, No. 012327, Cheruvannur P. O., Feroke, Kozhikode-673 631 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

Annexure

Whether the compulsory retirement of C. Asroff, S/o. Kunhi Kutty Ali, Accountant, Nadakkavu Branch with effect from 19-5-2009 by the management of Calicut North Service Co-operative Bank Limited, Karuvissery, Kozhikode is justifiable? If not what relief he is entitled to get?

By order of the Governor,

RACHEL VARGHESE,

Under Secretary to Government.